

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,159	09/28/2005	Koki Tanaka	52433/820	3164
26646	7590 08/15/2007		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1775	
,			MAII DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,159	TANAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael La Villa	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2005 (Prelim. Amendr	nent).			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	A.P.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		i			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

- This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
- 4. Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a galvanized steel sheet.
- 5. Group II, claim(s) 4-7, drawn to a method of making a galvanized steel sheet.
- 6. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The corresponding technical feature relates to the claimed steel sheet having the claimed steel composition and having a galvanized layer provided thereon. However, this subject matter is taught by Suzuki et al. JP 2000-290730. See Suzuki et al. (Abstract; Claims; paragraphs 28-36; and Tables 1-8). Hence, there is no corresponding special technical feature among the claim groups. Consequently, there is a lack of unity of invention among the claim groups, and so restriction is appropriate.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 8. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply

Application/Control Number: 10/551,159

Art Unit: 1775

does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

CONCLUSION

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/551,159

Art Unit: 1775

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 11 August 2007

AICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER